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A Resolution

This organisation totally condemns all, past and future, terrorism whether it carried out by states, other organised bodies or individuals.

Ahmadiyya Convention in The Hague

Ahmadiyya Anjuman Isha‘at Islam Lahore (Hague branch) celebrated its Silver Jubilee from the 12th to the 14th October. The celebrations started with the Fajr prayers on the 12th, after which a Dars of the Holy Quran was given. On the first day there was no further activity until the Jumuaah prayers when Mr Mahawat Khan, President of the Hague jamaat, spoke before handing over to Maulana Mustafa Kamal Hydal, religious head of the Trinidad jamaat, who gave the khutba and led the prayers.

The convention started after the Maghrib prayers with an opening speech by Mr Mahawat Khan. Mr Deetman, the Mayor of Hague, attended the meeting and spoke on the need for religious and racial tolerance. Mr A. Santoe followed him with a speech on ‘Islam in a Multicultural Society’. The last session was addressed by Prof. H. M. Vroom, a Dutch scholar from Free University of Amsterdam, who spoke on ‘Religion and Culture’.

After the Fajr prayers and the Dars the second day started with a business meeting between officials of all the jamaats in Holland and the overseas delegates. This was followed by a moderated discussion between a panel of politicians and media, and the audience. In the afternoon session, Dr. W. Ahmad from Indonesia, spoke on ‘Women in Islam’. This was followed by a speech by Maulana M. Kamal Hydal on ‘The Youth and Their Experience with Islam.’ The evening session consisted of a vote of thanks to the members of the Hague jamaat by their officials, and presentation of awards and certificates for long service to the jamaat.

On Sunday, the business meeting continued after the Fajr prayers and the Dars. The afternoon session consisted of a speech by Dr. Noman Ilahi Malik of the US jamaat on ‘Islam, a Tolerant Religion’ and by Mr H. F. Badloe on ‘The essence of being a Muslim’. The Governor of the province and the Queen’s Commissioner — Mr J. Franssen — concluded the formal conference. Speeches by foreign delegates thanking the Hague jamaat followed. The conference ended with Closing Remarks by Mr Mahawat Khan and dua by Fazil Ramzan sahib.

Stichting Ahmadiyya is the publishing arm of the Holland jamaat. On Monday, 15th October a function was held by the Stichting Ahmadiyya at their headquarters to entertain the foreign delegates. This was appreciated by all the delegates.

The programme of the main convention started daily with Fajr prayers and would continue until about midnight. Of course there were breaks for prayers and meals. One notable feature of the conference was the facilities for simultaneous translation of the speeches into Dutch and English. Delegates were provided with headphones to be able to listen to the translation. Our heartiest congratulations to our dedicated and zealous brothers and sisters in the Hague for a successful convention.

(Continued on page 2)
Jihad —
Its True Significance
by Shahid Aziz

(Based on the notes given by my mentor and teacher, the late Hafiz Maulana Sher Muhammad, may his soul rest in peace, a leading scholar of Islam, the fragrance of whose company shall live with me for ever.)

The concept of jihad is as much misunderstood by Muslims, as it is by non-Muslims. In English it is generally translated by non-Muslims as ‘Holy War’, which, given the lack of knowledge of Islam in the West may be understandable. What is less so, is that many Muslims themselves regard jihad to mean a Holy War waged for the propagation of Islam.

The sources one may use to understand and explain the meaning of Islamic terminology are lexicons, the Holy Quran, the Hadith (or sayings of the Holy Prophet Muhammad) and the opinions of the scholars of Islam, and not popular mythology. In addition, asbab-i nazoool, the context in which a verse was revealed, makes clear Allah’s intention in revealing a verse and its application. Let us consider the meaning and significance of jihad in the light of these sources.

Background

The Holy Prophet Muhammad (sas) was born in Makkah and he was appointed by Allah as a Prophet and a Messenger at Makkah. He started to receive revelation there and this continued after he migrated to Medina. The Holy Quran is made up of the revelation to the Holy Prophet Muhammad (sas) over a long period of 23 years.

The Holy Prophet (sas) communicated his revelation to the inhabitants of Makkah; this led to resentment on the part of their leaders who began a campaign of persecution against the Holy Prophet and his companions. This included all manner of humiliation, torture and torment. Yet Muslims did not engage non-believers in fighting. Twice, Muslims undertook migration, in preference to fighting. The first time some of them migrated to Abyssinia. They were pursued by their enemies who appealed to the Christian King of Abyssinia to extradite them so that the Muslims might be punished under their tribal laws. The King refused, thereby saving Muslims’ lives. The second migration was to Medina. This time the whole of the Muslim community fled from Makkah in fear for their lives. It was only when the non-believers attacked them in Medina and determined to kill the whole community that Muslims took up arms to defend themselves.

Revelation of verses containing commandments for jihad.

Some verses commanding Muslims to undertake jihad were revealed at Makkah before the Flight to Medina. For example, Surah Al-‘Ankabut, ‘The Spider’ (Chapter 29), was revealed at Makkah five or six years after the Call. In it Muslims are commanded thus:

“And whoever strives (ja-ha-da) hard, strives (yu-ja-hi-du) for himself...” (29:6)

So, the Muslims were asked to undertake jihad at a very early stage while still at Makkah and at a time when they were being persecuted. Yet, they did not undertake any physical confrontation with their opponents while at Makkah.

As the number of Muslims increased, so did persecution. When it became unbearable, as has been said, they migrated to Abyssinia rather than fight. Some may say that at that time Muslims were so few in numbers and so weak that it was in their interest to keep quite. This is contradicted by an incident related in the Sunan of Nisai (Kitab al-Jihad). It is said that when persecution became unbearable the companions (rad) of the Holy Prophet Muhammad (sas) approached him and said: “We too have blood in our veins and strength in our muscles, permit us to fight.”

The Holy Prophet replied: “I have been commanded to forgive, so fight them not.” This shows that jihad is not synonymous with fighting because, although at least one verse commanding jihad had been revealed and Muslims asked for permission to fight, yet the Holy Prophet refused.

Meaning of jihad given in lexicons

Amongst the lexicons, one of the most important classical works is the Mufradat of Raghib. In it Imam Raghib gives this definition of jihad:

“To expend resources and power in defence against an enemy, and it is of three kinds: jihad against an enemy that can be seen, jihad against the devil and jihad against one’s nafs (one’s own inner self or desires)”

The most authentic lexicon produced by the European scholars of Islam is Lane’s Lexicon. It says this about jihad:

“From the root ja-ha-da. Its real meaning is that a person uses his power and abilities and strives to the utmost against something undesirable. It is of three forms: against an open enemy, against Satan, or against his own nafs. All these are included in its meaning and it has been used in the same way by the Holy Quran.”

One can thus see that, from a classical Muslim scholar to a more recent European non-Muslim, both have given the same meaning of jihad. Although all lexicons give similar meanings for jihad, I have cited only two standard works to show jihad does not mean war and certainly not a war waged for propagation of Islam.

Meaning of jihad from the Holy Quran

For a Muslim the basic source of his beliefs is the Holy Quran. Here are some verses from the Holy Quran which determine what jihad means. It says:

“And those who strive hard (ja-ha-du) for Us, We shall certainly guide them in Our ways.” (29:69)

Here the word jihad is used to mean a spiritual struggle to attain nearness to God. And again:

“And whoever strives (ja-ha-da) hard, strives (yu-ja-hi-du) for himself.” (29:6)

Here jihad is used to mean an effort either to purify oneself or to help others, as is shown by the verse which follows 29:6. Further, the Holy Writ says:

“And We have enjoined on man goodness to his parents. But if they contend (ja-ha-da-ka) with thee to associate others with Me, of which thou hast no knowledge, obey them not ...” (29:8)

Here the word jihad is used to mean an argument. In an other place we find:

“And strive hard (ja-ha-da) for Allah with due striving ...” (22:78) and “So obey not the disbelievers, and strive...
(ja-ha-du) against them a mighty striving (ja-ha-dan kabeeran) with it (the Holy Quran).” (25:52)

In these two verses a Muslim is clearly told to conduct a jihad. In the first verse the jihad is to be conducted to attain nearness to God. In the second verse, Muslims are told to conduct a jihad against the disbelievers, not with the sword, but with the Holy Quran. This jihad with the Holy Quran is called hijhadan kabeeran, or a mighty or great struggle.

Commenting on this verse Allamah Fakhir-ud-Din Razi has said:

“Some people take this verse to mean striving by preaching, but others say that it means to fight and yet others consider that both meanings apply. However, the former meaning is correct because this verse was revealed in Makkah and the permission to fight was given after the Flight.” (Tafsir, vol. 4, p.330)

The word jihad is, in fact, the opposite of the word Quwood or idleness or holding back. The Holy Quran says:

“The holders back (qa-i-doo-na) from among the believers, not disabled by injury, and those who strive hard (mu-ja-hi-duna) in Allah’s way with their property and their persons, are not equal…” (4:95)

It is common sense to say that the opposite of idleness is not fighting but making an effort and struggling.

Meaning of jihad from the Hadith

The next source on which a Muslim bases his belief and faith are the ahadith of the Holy Prophet Muhammad (sas), collections of his sayings. I give below some sayings of the Holy Prophet Muhammad (sas) to show that he, too, used the word jihad to mean a struggle.

In the Mishkat we find the following sayings of the Holy Prophet Muhammad (sas):

1. “Carry out a jihad against the idolaters with your wealth, your lives and your tongues.” (Kitab al-Jihad)

2. “The Holy Prophet (sas) was asked which is the best jihad. He replied that it was the one carried out against the idolaters with one’s wealth and one’s life.” (Ibid.)

A more specific hadith clarifies the difference between the use of the word jihad for fighting and efforts to purify oneself:

“It is related on the authority of Jabir (rad) that when a party of fighting men returned, the Holy Prophet welcomed them saying: ‘You have come from the lesser jihad to the greater jihad’. They asked: ‘What is the greater jihad?’ The Holy Prophet replied: ‘For a man to struggle against his own weaknesses.’”

In Kitab al-Amarat, in Mishkat, the Holy Prophet is reported to have said:

“The greatest jihad is to say the truth to a tyrannical despot.”

In the classical work Tafsir Ruh-ul-Biyaan an explanation of this hadith is given as:

“The Holy Prophet said that the greatest jihad (jihad-i akbar) is to tell a tyrannical ruler the truth. This is the greatest jihad because to carry out a jihad with gentleness and argument is superior to jihad with the sword. Jihad with the sword is the lesser jihad.”

In modern language we can say that to win the hearts and minds of the people is better than conquering territory. Territory conquered may be lost but hearts, once won over, stay loyal forever.

In the Mufradat, referred to earlier, the Holy Prophet Muhammad (sas) is reported to have said:

1. “Carry out a jihad against your own failings as you would carry out a jihad against an enemy.”

2. “Carry out a jihad against the unbelievers with your hands and your tongues.”

In the Sahih of Bukhari (Kitab al-Jihad) it is related that the Holy Prophet (sas) said:

“The better jihad is Hajj-ul-Mabroor.”

Another hadith tells us that:

“Real jihad is that, in obedience to Allah, one struggles against one’s own desires.”

And elsewhere:

“Jihad consists of four things: to command the good; to forbid the bad; to speak the truth at times of trial requiring patience; not to reconcile with transgressors.”

These sayings of the Holy Prophet Muhammad (sas) make it clear that jihad means a struggle to the best of one’s ability. It involves the use of one’s wealth, one’s hand, one’s tongue, etc; and it is against one’s own desires as well as external opponents, and its objectives are to attain nearness to God and to propagate Islam.

If we summarise what the Holy Quran and the Hadith tells us, we find that three forms of jihad are mentioned: jihad kabir, jihad akbar and jihad agshar. The first two forms, meaning the greater struggle, are eternal, and refer to a struggle against oneself or a struggle to propagate Islam; and the third, meaning the lesser jihad, is jihad with the sword or fighting. However, this is conditional jihad and depends upon the circumstances. In fact the Holy Quran uses three words for fighting: harb, qital and jihad. Whereas the first two have a restricted meaning of fighting, jihad has, as has been shown, a much wider significance. To allow for this, the phrase jihad bis-saif (jihad with the sword) is used to indicate that fighting is meant.

Early days in Medina

After Muslims migrated to Medina, the non-believers of Makkah wrote a letter to Abdullah bin Ubbay, one of the chiefs of Medina. In it they said:

“O people of Medina, you have given our opponents sanctuary. We swear by God that, unless you either attack and kill them or expel them from your city, we shall kill all your men and take away your women.” (Sunan Abu Dawood, vol. 2, p.493)

When the Muslims were neither killed nor expelled, the non-believers first resorted to raiding the outskirts of Medina. This was to make clear their declared intention of attacking Medina unless the Muslims were removed.

When the Holy Prophet Muhammad arrived in Medina, he took certain precautions. First, he entered into a treaty with the Jewish and other tribes living in the area. This treaty, known as Mithaq-i Medina, lays down the principles of Muslim conduct when living in a non-Muslim environment. He then arranged for night guards to be placed around Medina and started to send out regular reconnaissance patrols to guard against surprise attacks. The situation was so
dangerous that the Holy Prophet (sas) and his companions used to go to bed fully armed, in case there was a surprise attack on them.

Events leading up to the permission to fight

Eventually, the non-believers of Makkah made up their minds to annihilate the Muslims at Medina. They decided to raise an army to attack Medina. To finance the campaign, they confiscated the property left behind in Makkah by the Muslims. A large trade-caravan was assembled to take these goods to Syria and to sell them there to raise money for the campaign.

While the caravan was on its way back from Syria an incident gave the non-believers of Makkah an excuse to attack Medina. It has already been explained that the Holy Prophet used to send out reconnaissance patrols to gather information about enemy movements. It was in the second year after the Flight from Makkah that the Holy Prophet sent out a patrol under the leadership of Hazrat Abdullah bin Hajash (rad). He was given secret written instructions sealed in an envelope and told to open the envelope after two days. The patrol was instructed to head for a place called Nakhla. When Hazrat Abdullah (rad) looked at his instructions he discovered that he was to stay at Nakhla and gather intelligence about the movement of non-believers.

Hazrat Abdullah (rad) was sent to patrol this area for a number of reasons. One reason may have been to check whether the rumours related to confiscation of Muslim property left behind in Makkah and its sale were true. A second reason may have been to keep a look out to see when the trade-caravan sent to Syria would return, because its return would herald the imminent attack being planned by the Makkans. A third reason may have been to warn the non-believers of Makkah that if they continued to disrupt agricultural production in Medina, on which its economy depended, then the economy of Makkah would also suffer because their trade-caravans, on which the Makkah economy depended, would also be disrupted.

At Nakhala, Hazrat Abdullah (rad) ran into a small Makkah caravan and against the Holy Prophet’s strict instructions, he attacked it, killing Umru bin al-Hadrami, taking two prisoners and some booty. Upon his return to Medina, the Holy Prophet (sas) clearly told him that he had exceeded his instructions and refused to accept the booty for the state treasury. Other companions (rad) were also angry with him and told him:

“You did that for which you were not given a command ...” (Tabari, p. 1275)

Permission to fight is given

Umru, the person who died in confrontation with Hazrat Abdullah (rad), was the son of a prominent chief. It was a matter of honour to avenge the death of any member of a tribe, let alone the son of the chief.

There were also other considerations. Makkans now realised that the Holy Prophet (sas) was keeping a close eye on their trade routes with the world outside Arabia. They saw that they had made a grave mistake by letting the Holy Prophet (sas) move to Medina because he (sas) now had the power to destroy their economy at will by disrupting trade. There was a more immediate worry — the caravan from Syria which was on its way back.

Abu Sufian, the caravan-leader, heard rumours of an attack and changed his route to avoid it. He despatched a messenger to Makkah to inform them of the danger. Makkans could not be sure that the caravan was safe. In any case, Umru’s death had totally changed the calculation. The matter was no longer political or religious, it was a matter of honour. Umru’s death had to be avenged.

They acted swiftly. An army of one thousand foot soldiers and a hundred cavalry was raised and despatched to Medina with the utmost urgency. It was at this time that the Holy Prophet (sas) prayed for guidance and the Muslims were given permission to fight. The Holy Quran says:

“Permission to fight (yu qa-taluna) is given to those on whom war is made, because they are oppressed. And surely Allah is Able to assist them.

“Those who are driven from their homes without a just cause except that they say: Our Lord is Allah. And (if) Allah did not repel some people by others, (then) cloisters, and churches, and synagogues, and mosques in which Allah’s name is much remembered, would have been pulled down. And surely Allah will help him who helps Him. Surely Allah is Strong, Mighty.” (2:29–40)

Note that when permission to fight is given to the Muslims, the word used is qital and not jihad. It has already been pointed out that the Holy Quran uses the words harb and qital for the use of physical force, whereas the word jihad is used in a much wider sense of a struggle. It can be that eventually the struggle in a general sense may indeed lead to a struggle in the physical sense, but this is then called jihad bis-saif, or struggle with the sword.

These two verses also make other things clear. First, that Muslims are to fight when “war is made” on them. In other words, Muslims are not to be aggressive but fight in defence only. Second, Muslims are given permission to fight when tyranny and oppression have become unbearable and people are persecuted and forced out of their homes for their beliefs. Third, the intention of those waging war is to establish tyranny and to deprive Muslims of the freedom to hold their beliefs, practice their religion and to propagate it by peaceful means. Fourth, the war fought by Muslims is to be for the defence of all people subjected to oppression and tyranny, regardless of their religion, creed or colour.

Elsewhere, the Holy Quran says:

“And fight (qa-ti-la) in the way of Allah against those who fight against you but be not aggressive.” (2:190)

Here again the Holy Quran uses the word qital for physical force, and not the word jihad. And, Muslims are again instructed to defend themselves, but they are not to be aggressive.

This discussion from the lexicons, the Holy Quran and the Hadith, sources on which a Muslim bases his faith, clearly shows that jihad is not synonymous with fighting. The historical context of the verses in which Muslims were allowed to fight also shows that Muslims have never been commanded by the Holy Quran or the Holy Prophet Muhammad (sas) to engage in aggression.

(Khatbah Jumah, delivered at Darus Salaam (London) on 5th October 2001)